



FOR THE FUELS FUTURE

What will power your aircraft?

JEFFREY DECKER, EAA 829015

Major changes are in store for the piston aircraft fuel supply. Market forces and environmental concerns are pushing leaded avgas into the history books while ethanol is steadily blended into a growing majority of automobile gas (autogas), slowly removing that alternative. Options and a common solution are being sought, but it's unlikely the fuels of the future will equal today's performance at today's prices.

The majority of low-compression engines can be operated today without lead by using the existing avgas/unleaded avgas supplemental type certificates (STCs). It's high-performance aircraft and their high-compression engines that will be affected the most by the shift away from leaded fuels.

Earlier this year the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) accepted the final comments on a lawsuit from the Friends of the Earth (FOE) that contends that 30 years of exceptions allowing the continued use of 100 low lead (100LL) avgas is long enough. FOE points to the tetraethyl lead additive's adverse impact on humans and the rest of nature as the reason to eliminate it. (Lead impairs brain functions of those who touch or breathe enough of it.) Aircraft in the United States are about the only vehicles in the world still using leaded fuel. Standards set by the Clean Air Act were first implemented in 1973 to shift automobiles to unleaded fuel by the early 1980s before the outright ban on using leaded fuel in road vehicles took effect in 1996.

"Friends of the Earth was thorough in the development of its 2006 lawsuit," says Earl Lawrence, EAA vice president of industry and regulatory affairs. "EPA has to answer that suit," he concedes. "I don't think we have six months [before changes are required]." The EPA already has implemented new emissions limits that could restrict flights at the largest general aviation (GA) airports, but further action will likely be in the form of an advance notice of proposed rulemaking to regulate GA lead emissions. In its lawsuit, FOE pointed out that GA aircraft account for 65 percent of the total lead emissions in the United States. That's 125.5 tons annually.

While the EPA is looking to industry to provide a road map, Lawrence reports the agency so far is seeking the lowest impact solution. "There has been discussion about prohibiting the construction of new engines and aircraft that require leaded fuels starting two years after

a formal EPA response [to the FOE lawsuit]," he says. Besides slamming that door, the EPA could also require all piston aircraft that can fly on unleaded fuels to use only unleaded fuels such as a 91UL (unleaded) avgas that can be produced today.

"It's 100LL without the lead in it," says Lawrence. "We call it 91, but the actual motor octane is often higher, in the 92 to 94 range. Somewhere between 70 and 80 percent of the fleet could use 91UL tomorrow with no modifications, based on the STC and manufacturer approvals for unleaded fuels already in existence. That would create the economic incentives for the distribution. In other words, it would force the oil companies to start shipping it and force engine and airframe companies to produce new aircraft that are compatible with the new lower octane unleaded fuels." (The 1,600,000 tons of 100LL produced annually worldwide is only 0.5 percent of the auto fuel produced. Only one factory in the United Kingdom makes the tetraethyl lead additive.)

What the Numbers at the Pump Mean

A comparison of typical automobile gasoline anti-knock indicators (AKI), the number on the pump, with motor octane numbers (MON):

Regular 87 AKI car gas = 82.5 MON
Premium 91 AKI car gas = 87.5 MON

Note: Typical 100LL is 104-106 MON.

If EPA went that route, Lawrence adds, it wouldn't actually outlaw 100LL, so warbirds and other high-performance aircraft could still use it. But, leaded fuel would likely become more expensive and harder to find, which is the dilemma being balanced: "Do you pay more for a specialty fuel so some of the fleet can use it, or do you make modifications to the aircraft?"



Jeff Decker

Avgas and avgas tanks are destined to disappear if the EPA prohibits the use of any leaded fuels.



Bob Warner, left, confers with Harry Zeisloft, center, who led EAA's autofuel testing program, and the now late Jim Barton, who logged hundreds of hours in a Cessna 150 in the early 1980s to demonstrate the viability of autofuel in general aviation aircraft.

A mountain of research over the past 25 years guides these decisions. The EPA has shown a willingness to accommodate and understand research before forcing a change for aircraft.

"We've got to remember that we've won, in a way, by delaying this change for all these decades," asserts Lawrence. But that doesn't mean the transition will be easy. "There's no silver bullet. If there was, it would have been found by now. A wide range of chemicals and compounds have been studied by experts in both industry and academia, and the government verified the testing."

The bulk of the latest research is coordinated through the Coordinated Research Council (CRC) Unleaded Avgas Development Panel. More than 60 members from 40 organizations serve on that committee, which released its latest report on 30 unleaded blends in April. The conclusion states, "Although full-scale engine tests indicated some blends were capable of providing knock-free operation in the test engine, those blends represented the use of specialty chemicals which require further evaluation with respect to environmental impact. Economic viability of the blends tested...will also need to be evaluated separately by industry." The report continues, "Although experimental blends of specialist components may achieve or exceed the 100LL specification of 99.6 MON minimum, such formulations...potentially compromise other important specifications."

As industry tries to match that motor octane number (MON), Lawrence sees three sets of options. The first simply leaves out the lead and satisfies the needs of 70 to 80 percent of the fleet. The second option, for the remaining high-performance pistons that use the majority of the avgas produced, "is using some of the unfriendly additives we've identified." Those are expensive, but a tolerable cost might be found that allows an unleaded fuel to be produced with high enough octane

performance to satisfy the needs of most of the remaining aircraft.

The third possibility is a totally new fuel among the array of bioengineered alternatives being developed. Most of the biofuels are formulated for jet and diesel engines, but a SwiftFuel process has emerged from a group at Purdue University, and its inventors say it actually brings a 15 percent range boost and 50 percent drop in manufacturing costs. They say the fuel has no sulfur emissions, has a 30-degree lower freezing point than 100LL, requires no stabilizers, and could be available in 2009. Developers hope to open a 2,000 gallon per day "pilot" plant at Delphi Municipal Airport in Indiana (119).

The exact SwiftFuel chemical process is protected information, says Swift Enterprises Research Director John Rusek, but one key is the fuel does not have added oxygenates like ethanol. As with other biofuels, the raw material can be anything that can be converted into sugar. "It's the components of biomass that are the most important, and that's where a lot of people miss the point," he says. "It's not about any specific crop."


The innovations the SwiftFuel inventors have developed, and the process they hope to sell, are in the second half of the conversion process and could easily be adapted to existing ethanol facilities, he says. "America has already invested in that infrastructure. Why not use it?" asks Rusek.

When the inventors presented SwiftFuel to the FAA, it met 42 of the 44 specifications in ASTM International Standard D910, which is the current specification governing 100LL aviation gasoline.

Performance could be the least troublesome aspect for SwiftFuel, says Doug Macnair, EAA vice president of government relations. "The big unknowns with that fuel are...is the production process scalable in a financial way and are the materials available?"

"...It's unlikely the fuels of the future will equal today's performance at today's prices."

Jeff Decker



Any new fuel will likely be cleaner, easing the pressure the EPA put on four major GA airports on October 15, 2008. That action appeared a federal court order to reduce the acceptable lead levels by 90 percent. Van Nuys Airport in Los Angeles, Centennial Airport near Denver, Phoenix's Deer Valley Airport, and Orlando Sanford International Airport were among the 135 sites estimated to have annual lead emissions above 1 ton, joining battery manufacturers and industrial boilers in having to implement new mandated monitoring. If lead emissions exceed acceptable standards, these facilities will need to develop a corrective plan by 2013 and put it into action by 2017.

"I think when each of these airports gathers their own numbers based on real data, some of them will fall off [the list]," predicts Walter Desrosier, vice president of engineering and maintenance for the General Aviation Manufacturers Association (GAMA). The EPA added up all GA operations, he points out. "The data for landings and takeoffs doesn't differentiate

DUATS

NOW with new features never before available in FREE software.

Golden Eagle FlightPrep® Software (GEFP) includes:

- Vector Navigational Charts
- Graphical Weather Overlay
- Graphical TFRs
- Topographical Profiles
- Customized Chart Preferences
- FREE 56 Day Updates

CSC DUATS superior services:

- FAA Weather Briefings
- NOTAMS
- Plain Language Text Weather
- Color Weather Graphics
- Automated Flight Planner
- Flight Plan Filing
- Multiple Pilot Profiles
- Multiple Aircraft Profiles
- Stored Routes
- Preferred Routes
- QICP-Approved Secure Web Access*

*www.duats.com only

For FREE GEFP software download: www.duats.com

For a FREE copy on CD: 800.345.3828 option 1

Direct Dial: 800.767.9989

DUATS Website: www.duats.com



sample of premium features illustrated

FREE FAA Certified Pilot Self Briefing Flight Planning Service

UPGRADE Your Golden Eagle FlightPrep®

Now add your choice of VFR or IFR charts and plates for the most complete integration with your flight planning! Easy downloads bring the FBO to you.

Sample Chart Pricing

- \$39 1x US CONUS Sectionals
 - \$29 1x Regional Sectionals
 - \$29 1x US CONUS WACs
 - \$29 1x Regional Low Enroutes
 - \$39 1x US CONUS Plates
- pricing for download service (disks optional)

ChartCase Professional®

Fully integrated EFB and Flight Planner. No Other Software to Buy!

- XMWX In-cockpit Weather
- TAWS Display
- 3D HITS (Highway in the sky)
- Virtual Instrument Panel
- Custom TripKit Report Builder
- User Choice to Create Your Own Optional Chart Collection
- Moving Map, Charts & Approaches
- Updates Delivered Via Internet or Optional DVD

\$449.95 plus S&H

To order, visit: www.flightprep.com
or call: 800.966.4360



Essential for Every Mission



EXPERIENCE. RESULTS.

between pistons and turbine jets." (Jet fuel doesn't contain lead.)

FOE suggested aviation grade ethanol (AGE85) fuel as a substitute. Development of this fuel has been ongoing for some time, but efforts to establish an industry specification have been slow. Not all aspects of the fuel have been explored and shown to be satisfactorily compatible with the existing aircraft fleet.

"Ethanol drastically changes the water separation of the fuel and its vapor pressure," warns EAA's Macnair. There's also a corrosion potential from regular use; fuels with ethanol left sitting unused in vented tanks tend to phase separate causing corrosion throughout the fuel system. Ethanol requires more fuel flow, which leads up to a 40 percent reduction in the aircraft's range. Outside of the warm climate and abundant ethanol infrastructure of Brazil, Macnair says, AGE85 just won't be viable for aircraft.

Not only is ethanol harmful, but the autogas STCs held by EAA and Petersen Aviation forbid the use of ethanol blends. EAA holds STCs for

Octane Needs Vs Compression Ratio

Octane needs for various compression ratios can be estimated for air-cooled aircraft engines as follows:

Low compression (7:1 to 7.2:1) type certified to **80 MON**

Mid compression (8:1 to 8.5:1) type certified to **91 MON** and STC'd to **87 MON** premium autogas


High compression (8.7:1+) & turbocharged engines type certified to **100+ MON**

71 engines and more than 100 airframes from 17 manufacturers. As of May 2008, 65,000 STCs have been issued. (EAA first tested lead alternatives in 1964 and in 1982 opened the door to STCs for automotive fuel, or autogas/mogas.)

That experience will help EAA gain new STCs for new fuels or modifications to the high-performance aircraft fleet. "What we're looking for is a way to expedite the process to certify groups of aircraft, or families of engines, to use new and existing fuels. We are working with the FAA to find new and creative ways," explains Macnair.

There's a mountain of problems to address besides the performance loss that engines exhibit when using unleaded fuel. Changes in fuel flow or horsepower output will affect performance, and aircraft handbooks will have to be rewritten. A fuel that weighs more or less would throw off an aircraft's weight and balance, as would a new and heavier engine.

EAA estimates at least 80 percent of the piston aircraft fleet will function without problems on unleaded avgas, specifically the low- and mid-compression engines designed for octanes up to 80 and 91 MON, respectively.



Won't fade.
Won't wash off.
Won't go away.

Seen whenever
a new RV flies.

Now occurring
an average of
eleven times a
week at airports
all over the
world.

THE RV GRIN ...

VAN'S AIRCRAFT, INC.
14401 Keil Rd NE, Aurora OR 97002
503-678-6545 www.vansaircraft.com



Ethanol plant in West Burlington, Iowa. The SwiftFuel developers believe these facilities could be converted to make biofuels as well.

Others estimate 70 percent of the fleet will be unaffected. Some models may be able to operate on a new unleaded fuel with simple changes. A manufacturer could reduce the maximum cylinder head temperature via an airworthiness directive or a type certificate revision. Other engines depend on the detonation protection of 100LL and can't easily compensate for a lower octane. If the fuel-to-air mixture inside a piston engine combusts at the wrong time, it would create holes in the pistons and cause the engine to tear itself apart. Technology does offer hope. Full authority digital engine controls (FADEC) and other electronic timing controls are capable of pinpoint monitoring and adjustments not normally possible. Lycoming's iE2 (integrated electronic

engine) was designed to power the leading high-performance pistons of today with multiple fuels. "By moving to an adaptive, closed loop, cylinder-by-cylinder control system, you can compensate for some loss of octane," states Michael J. Kraft, Lycoming vice president of research, development, and engineering. "Going further up the 'trick' line, you could include the go-fast gizmos that we've placed on Jon Sharp's Nemesis NXT engine."

Kraft believes a 100-octane unleaded fuel, or 100UL, may come to market. "What would happen if we were faced with very low octane ratings...say 91UL or lower? Possibly a change in engine technology would be needed. Remember, today's high-performance aviation engines were designed around the then-available

fuel. If the fuel changes, the engine will need to change either in control methods or mechanical configuration," he says. "There are limits as to how far down you can go without impacting the power rating."

Rhett Ross, the president of Teledyne Continental, said last spring that the company was developing an engine for either diesel or jet fuel to be certified by 2010. Spokesperson Mac Little won't comment on existing prototypes. "You'll probably have to follow up with us every six to eight months," he says. "We have 1,800 types of specifications, and we have to test each and every one of them."

What about modification kits that could adapt today's engines for tomorrow's fuel? "It's an active program," Little hints, adding, "We won't get

Comparison of Fuel Possibilities

FUEL

Petroleum-based unleaded similar to 100LL without the lead

SwiftFuel biomass hydrocarbon fuel or other possible specialty fuel

Dual-fuel interim transition approach

(unleaded for most existing fleet & all new aircraft; leave 100LL in market for remaining high-performance aircraft)

AIRCRAFT

Significant modifications for high-compression and turbocharged engines. No effect on 70 to 80 percent of the fleet.

Could possibly satisfy the needs of the current high-performance fleet. Initial engine testing by FAA Tech Center has had positive results.

Transparent for existing fleet. Significant modifications for new production high-performance engines/aircraft.

AVAILABILITY

Transparent for producers, distributors, FBOs. Same cost as today's 100LL.


Still in the uncertain research and development phase. Proprietary and patented biochemistry process. No demonstrated production. Unknown cost.

Infrastructure costs and handling issues for two fuels. Cost of leaded fuel likely to rise faster than unleaded. FBOs may choose to only offer one fuel.

STCs. We will actually recertify the engines." Until the industry decides what unleaded avgas formulation to adopt or to use diesel and jet fuel for its high-performance needs, Little says the engine makers won't know what to focus on. "Once they've settled on it, then we'll look at readying our engines for it," he states.

New diesel engines are already gaining momentum, but retrofitting such engines on older airframes is problematic because of weight and size issues, as well as differences in the location of supporting systems. Plans for a diesel-powered Cessna 172 stalled when Thielert Aircraft Engines GmbH became insolvent. Using jet fuel in diesel engines also can be problematic, as jet fuel does not have minimum cetane numbers. (The cetane number is a measure of the ignition quality of a diesel fuel.)

With an uncertain fuel future unfolding, it does seem fuel will be more expensive for pilots accustomed to buying avgas. Their engines will run on higher octane aviation fuels, including the 100LL replacement that will be available at airports. While the low- and mid-compression engines do not run any better on higher octane fuel, it won't destroy their aircraft like ethanol-blended fuels will.

Banding together may be an option for pilots groups. As ethanol-free avgas steadily disappears, they can buy bulk fuel for storage in tanks at local airports. One EAA member explored bulk purchases for his airpark in Georgia after learning his regular fuel supplier had switched to an ethanol blend. "I own a 7AC Champ with a Continental O-200 and an auto fuel STC. The Continental owner's manual says 'Do not use fuels with octane ratings higher than 80 except in an emergency.' I have no choice. I am also building an Acroduster, into which I plan to install a Lycoming O-360. I probably will use 100LL (or its replacement) when it's airworthy. Local 100LL prices range from \$3.50 to \$4.80, but 87UL is \$1.79. Even when gasoline was near \$4.00 a gallon a couple months ago, 100LL was consistently \$1.50 higher." For now there are no easy answers. 

Jeffrey Decker is a freelance writer based in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, who reports for a number of aviation publications.

FLIGHT GUIDE HAS THE SOLUTION FOR THE ACTIVE PILOT!

FLIGHT GUIDE ONLINE™
Full Service Internet Flight Tool



Features Include:

- Advanced Airport Searches
- Airport Data & Diagrams Updated Daily
- Airspace Graphics & Satellite Images
- Fuel Prices & On Field Services
- Food, Transportation & Rec Info
- Reserve Lodging & Rental Cars
- High Res Printout Capability
- Flight Log & Airport Notes
- FlightPrep-Planning & Weather
- Pilot Getaways Electronic Magazine **PILOT GETAWAYS**

FLIGHT GUIDE MANUALS™
The Pilot's Airport Link



Features Include:

- Compact Size
- Two Semi-Annual Revisions
- Airport Diagrams, Detailed Taxiways
- Radio Frequencies Comm & Nav
- Flight Operational Information
- FBO: Fuel, Services & Phone
- Class B, C, D, & TRSA Airspace
- Food, Transportation & Lodging
- Free Pick-Ups & Discounts
- Recreational Information

FLIGHT GUIDE VIEWER™
EFB Software Application



Features Include:

- Download & Store Flight Guide Airport Data to a Laptop, or Tablet PC
- View Airport Data In The Cockpit Without An Internet Connection
- Unlimited Data Updates

FLIGHT GUIDE ONLINE™
Annual Membership
\$35.00 per region
\$120.00 per region for international members
\$89.00 or \$57.00 for all other regions

FLIGHT GUIDE VIEWER™
Software Application
\$19.95 plus annual data membership fee

FLIGHT GUIDE MANUALS™
Three Volumes Cover The USA!
\$40-\$42 each


To order go to www.flightguide.com/ea • Airguide Publications, Inc. • 800 FLY-FLY1 (359-3591)

Friends, Fun & Flight



SHARING THE SPIRIT OF AVIATION

EAA CHAPTERS are your opportunity to share the spirit of aviation with a group of local EAA Members who have fun while pursuing their fascination with flight.



To find or start an EAA Chapter in your area, call 800-236-4800 ext. 4876 or go online at www.eaa.org.